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ABSTRACT

The computed tomography (CT) facilities and the Multi-Sensor Core Logger (MSCL) at the National Energy Technology Laboratory (NETL) Morgantown site were used to characterize 9 different core sections of Upper Ordovician black shale from the Eastern Panhandle of West Virginia. The samples are representative of the upper Ordovician Martinsburg Formation, a Utica Shale equivalent, which is a carbonaceous black shale in the Appalachian Basin. The primary impetus of this work was to develop a standardized methodology for the rapid and detailed characterization of chemical composition, physical characteristics, and internal microstructure of lithologic samples with the NETL core characterization equipment. Having a standardized methodology combining these innovative techniques allows large, rapidly produced data sets to be available for multiple researchers and allows collaborative studies. The resultant data sets are presented as part of this report as well and can be accessed from NETL's Energy Data eXchange (EDX) online system (https://edx.netl.doe.gov) using the following link: https://edx.netl.doe.gov/dataset/martinsburg-formation-ct-data.

All equipment and techniques used were non-destructive, enabling future examinations to be performed on these cores with the additional information gathered through these tests. None of the equipment used was suitable for direct visualization of the shale pore space, though fractures and discontinuities were visible and detectable with all methods tested. High resolution CT imagery with the NETL industrial CT scanner was a powerful and insightful way to examine the details of fractures, discontinuities, minerals, and large crystals within the shale, but was time consuming both in image capture and analysis. As such, only a small percentage of the core was scanned at high resolution. Low resolution CT imagery with the NETL medical CT scanner was performed on the entire core. Qualitative analysis of the medical CT images, coupled with the measurements from the multi-sensor core logger (including x-ray fluorescence, XRF, measurements) were very useful in identifying zones of interest for more detailed analysis and fractured zones. The ability to quickly identify key areas for more detailed study with higher resolution will save time and resources in future studies. The combination of all methods used provided a multi-scale analysis of this core and provided both a macro and micro description of the core that is relevant for many subsurface energy related examinations of core that have traditionally been performed at NETL.
1. **INTRODUCTION**

Evaluation of reservoir samples prior to production for resource estimation and effective extraction methodology is critical in the development of subsurface resources. While it is common for commercial entities to perform these characterizations, the resources necessary to conduct this analysis are not always available to the broader interest base, such as state surveys and research based consortiums. In order to meet the growing need for comprehensive and high quality lithologic data for collaborative research initiatives, NETL has used available resources in conjunction with previous techniques and new, innovative methodologies to develop a systematic approach for the evaluation of lithologic samples. In this report, the data collection techniques and preliminary analysis of the Martinsburg shale are presented to highlight the methodologies and capabilities of the Research & Innovation Center (RIC) researchers at National Energy Technology Laboratory (NETL) and how this new procedure will help streamline the data produced.

In this study the primary objective was to develop a standardized methodology and techniques for analysis of core samples in order to have processes developed for future core analysis and to provide planning guidance to entities wishing to utilize NETL’s characterization expertise. The data is presented in several formats that are potentially useful for various analyses, but little detailed analysis is presented in this report as the research objective was not to do a site characterization, but rather to develop the appropriate techniques to obtain data in a consistent fashion for site or regional characterizations.

The samples were acquired through a joint venture with the West Virginia Geologic Survey (WVGS). Nine (9) core samples were originally obtained as part of a geotechnical survey for a proposed earthen dam in the Lost River watershed in Hardy County, WV (Figures 1–3). Core sections ranged from 27 to 72 ft long, with varying degrees of recovery; in some instances up to 10 ft of consecutive core is missing. The sections that were fissile or had low recovery rates were not evaluated for the purposes of this study.
Figure 1: Lost River Dam location in Hardy County West Virginia, shown by red dot.
Figure 2: Correlation chart of Middle Proterozoic, Cambrian, and Ordovician rocks along section D-D’ (solid green line) (Modified figures from Ryder and Crangle, 2002).
Figure 3: Initial diagrams for construction of the Lost Creek watershed dam site. Borehole locations marked in black.
2. **GEOLOGY AND SITE OVERVIEW**

The geologic unit immediately underlying the Lost Creek watershed dam location is the Martinsburg Group (Figures 1 and 2), which, in its lower limits, is a gray to black calcareous shale that is an equivalent of the Ordovician Utica Shale. Thin limestone and sandstone interbeds are scattered throughout the formation. Additionally, the lower intervals of the formation are fossiliferous and in some intervals highly fractured; fluid pathways are present along slickensides and cross bedding which have provided avenues for groundwater infiltration and mineral precipitation.

![Surface topologic map of Lost River Dam location. Map is accentuated by underlying topography and the dam is readily visible in the center of the red box that outlines the area of the original survey from Figure 3.](image)

The sample area resides on an anticlinal axis that controls the regional topography and geological structure (Figure 4). The Martinsburg Formation is thinnest near the axis of the anticline and thus closest to the base of the unit, indicating that this study is indeed examining samples from the
lower Martinsburg. An important note is that the lithologic units adjacent to the Martinsburg Fm are comprised primarily of weather-resistant, ridge-forming sandstones and carbonates, making the location ideal for dam installation.
3. DATA ACQUISITION AND METHODOLOGY

The samples were evaluated using various methodologies, including computed tomography (CT) scanning, geophysical and geochemical core logging, and hand-evaluation by geologists. All 9 cores were characterized in their entirety using the same protocols. Industrial CT scans, because of their time-consuming nature, were selectively conducted over regions of interest rather than the whole core.

3.1 CORE LOGGING

Geophysical measurements of core thickness deviation, P-wave travel time, P-wave signal amplitude, magnetic susceptibility, and attenuated gamma counts were obtained with a Geotek® Multi-Sensor Core Logging (MSCL) system. Geotek® MSCL software was used to process the raw data into core thickness, P-wave velocity, gamma density, and fractional porosity values. Additionally, the system was used to measure bulk elemental chemistry with a built-in, portable X-ray fluorescence (XRF) spectrometer. The Geotek® MSCL system at the NETL has many capabilities but only those that were significant to this characterization are described in the following sections (Figure 5).

3.1.1 P-Wave Velocity

P-Wave velocity measurements are performed to measure the acoustic impedance of a geologic sample with compressional waves. Impedance, in this setting, is a measure of how well a material transmits vibrations, which is directly proportional to density and/or material consolidation. An example of a material that has a high acoustic impedance would be air, with a wave speed of 330 m/s, whereas granite would have low acoustic impedance, with a wave speed of >5,000 m/s. The importance of these particular measurements is that they can be proxies for seismic reflection coefficients, and can be translated to field use when doing seismic surveys.

The software associated with the MSCL can measure the travel time of the pulse with a resolution of 50 ns. The absolute accuracy of the instrument measurements is $\pm 3$ m/s with a resolution of 1.5 m/s (Geotek Ltd. Multi-Sensor Core Logger Manual, Version 05-10; Geotek Ltd., 2010).

![Figure 5: Representation of generalized MSCL with all attached instruments. From Geotek Ltd., Geotek Multi-Sensor Core Logger Flyer, Daventry, UK (2009).](image)
3.1.2 **Magnetic Susceptibility**

Magnetic susceptibility is a measure of the degree of magnetization in the sample. The sample is passed through a ring apparatus with an oscillating magnetic field, where the interference to this field is proportional to the magnetism of the sample, and thus a relative measurement can be taken. The measurement unit used is dimensionless (abbreviated simply as SI units) and is based on the original calibration, which is done via stable iron oxides, and reference minerals which have known ranges of susceptibility (Table 1) (Geotek Ltd. Multi-Sensor Core Logger Manual, Version 05-10).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mineral</th>
<th>X (*10^6) SI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcite</td>
<td>-7.5 to -39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Halite, Gypsum</td>
<td>-10 to -60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illite, Montmorillonite</td>
<td>330 to 410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrite</td>
<td>5 to 3,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haematite</td>
<td>500 to 40,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetite</td>
<td>1,000,000 to 5,700,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.1.3 **Gamma Density**

Gamma density is acquired by subjecting the sample to gamma radiation and then measuring the attenuation of that radiation. The attenuation is directly proportional to the density of the sample and is acquired by measuring the difference between radiation energy at the emission source and after it passes through the sample. Specifically, the MSCL software calculates the bulk density, $\rho$, by using the following equation:

$$\rho = \left(\frac{1}{\mu d}\right) \ln \left(\frac{I_o}{I}\right)$$

Where $\mu$ = Compton attenuation coefficient, $d$ = thickness, $I_o$ = source intensity, and $I$ = measured intensity.

3.1.4 **X-ray Fluorescence Spectrometry**

In addition to the geophysical measurements a portable handheld Innov-X® X-Ray Fluorescence Spectrometer was used to measure relative elemental abundances of aggregated “light elements” up to and including sodium, and also various heavy elements which were measured individually (Figure 5). Elemental abundances are reported relative to the total elemental composition, i.e. out of 100% weight.
The XRF spectrometer measures elemental abundances by subjecting the sample to X-ray photons. The high energy of the photons displaces inner orbital electrons in the respective elements. The vacancies in the lower orbitals cause outer orbital electrons to “fall” into lower orbits to satisfy the disturbed electron configuration. The substitution into lower orbitals causes a release of a secondary X-ray photon, which has an energy associated with a specific element. These relative and element specific energy emissions can then be used to determine bulk elemental composition.

### 3.2 MEDICAL CT SCANNING

Core scale CT scanning was done with a medical Toshiba® Aquilion RXL™ Multislice Helical Computed Tomography Scanner (medical CT) as shown in Figure 7. The medical CT scanner generates images with a resolution in the millimeter range, with scans having voxel resolutions of 0.35 x 0.35 mm in the XY plane and 0.50 mm along the core axis. All scans were done in 3 foot or smaller sections, which resulted in image stacks of more than 1400 XY slices. The scans were conducted at a voltage of 80 kV and at 200 mA. Subsequent processing and combining of stacks was performed to create 3D volumetric representations of the cores and a 2D cross-section through the middle of the core samples. Examples of the processed output from this CT scanner are shown in Figure 8 for four sections of the Lost River site, Drill Hole #254, from a depth of 31.9 to 40.1 ft. The variation in greyscale values observed in the CT images indicates changes in the CT number obtained from the CT scans, which is directly proportional to changes in the attenuation and density of the scanned rock. Darker regions are less dense. As can be seen in Figure 8, filled fractures, open fractures, and changes in bedding structure can all be resolved via careful examination of the CT images. While the medical CT scanner was not used for detailed...
characterization in this study, it allowed for non-destructive bulk characterization of all 9 core sections in their entirety, and thus complimented the MSCL data on the resultant logs.

Figure 7: Toshiba® Aquilion™ Multislice Helical Computed Tomography Scanner at the NETL used for core analysis.

Figure 8: Medical CT scans of Lost River core from Drill Hole #254 from a depth of 31.9 to 40.1 ft.
3.3 GEOLOGIC EVALUATION

The core samples were evaluated using the methodologies developed by the Eastern Gas Shales Project (Dyman, 1981). As such, all core sections were evaluated and described using the Wentworth Grade Scale (Pettijohn, 1957), Bedding Terminology (Dunbar & Rogers, 1957), and Quantitative Terms Used in Describing Layered Rocks (Mckee and Weir, 1953). Core samples were left as is in the sample boxes and only disturbed when active evaluation was performed (Figure 9). While these methods were strictly adhered to, some variability does inherently exist in these evaluations due to the subjective nature of the analysis. The premise of using these tools and terminology was to maintain consistency, adhere to industry standards, and provide in-depth geologic descriptions to supplement and corroborate past and future analyses.

Standard tools and methods were used to examine the cores to determine the above characteristics. Grain size comparators were used to determine grain size in conjunction with magnification with standard Bosch and Lomb® 40x hand lenses. All cores were wetted for color determination to show true color. Diluted hydrochloric acid was used to test for the presence of carbonates on freshly etched surfaces. Engineering rulers were used to measure bedding and zone thicknesses.

![Figure 9: Core samples as received in boxes with place-holders for missing section.](image)

3.4 DATA COMPILATION

Strater® by Golden Software® was used to compile the MSCL, hand sample, and medical CT data into lithologic logs that fit onto single pages. Some of this data can be accessed from NETL's Energy Data eXchange (EDX) online system (https://edx.netl.doe.gov) using the following link: https://edx.netl.doe.gov/dataset/martinsburg-formation-ct-data. The process included normalizing the data relative to actual core thickness and creating templates that would automatically read in data-streams from multiple sources. This process enabled consistent and systematic data compilation for all 9 samples. The industrial CT data was not included because of its 3D nature and because the complex methodology used to display such data does not lend itself to print.

3.5 INDUSTRIAL CT

High resolution, feature-scale computed tomography was performed with a North-Star Imaging Inc. M-5000® Industrial Computed Tomography System (industrial CT). The system was used to obtain higher resolution scans, ranging from 30–42 µm per pixel, and thus provide a better
understanding of specific features. The two primary limitations, which made sample selection critical, were the limited region of interest that can be scanned at one time (less than 3 in.) and the length of time, in excess of 90 min per sample that it takes to scan. Sections were chosen for industrial scanning based on the presence of notable features such as fractures, pore space, unique mineralogy, and apparent lithologic transitions.

The scans were performed at a voltage of 185 kV and a current of 400 µA, which provided the best balance of resolution and energy to penetrate the samples. The samples were rotated 360° and 1440 radiograph projections of the samples were obtained, averaging 3 individual radiographs at each step to create the reconstruction.

3.5.1 Splicing Image Stacks

The industrial CT scanner has superior resolution, but the improved resolution comes at the cost of limited total scannable area. Many samples that are industrially scanned are greater than 2 in. in length, and have to be scanned in sections. The multiple scans are entirely independent and, as such, it is difficult to make coherent visual representations or systematically consistent volumetric assessments of features within the samples. This is due to inherent grayscale shifts in the visual representations particular to each scan, with shifts being determined by the maximum and minimum x-ray attenuation within each individual scan. Those attenuations coordinate with varying grayscale number assignments, and thus each sample has a unique grayscale histogram that is defined by the density variability within that sample section.

Multiple scans of different sections of a sample are completed with identical settings, such as focal distance, source pitch, etc. If all scan parameters are the same, then the individual scans will have identical resolutions. However, scans are prone to a tilt due to asymmetrical rotation about the primary rotational axis (Figure 10). As the X-ray source moves up the sample, the “wobble” in the rotation increases, which further offsets the scan radiographs. When the wobble is integrated into a 3D representation, it results in the displacement of the primary viewing axis and thus a mirror imaging of radiographs instead of the ideal overlay.

In order to better visualize and understand sample features, a methodology was developed that enables scans to be systematically and consistently spliced together into one coherent image stack. Each scan has to be untilted first, and then grayscale histograms have to be matched to make integration of two sections seamless. The untilting of a stack entails utilizing both the reconstruction software to correct the axis (x-reference) and also using ImageJ (Rasband, 2017) with the untilt stack plugin which shifts each image, sequentially, in the stack along the x and y axis based on the users’ defined region of interest. Next, to match the grayscales of the two sections, a common slice is chosen; this common slice acts as the joining point for the two stacks and represents the exact same slice in the volume. The image stacks are then converted to 8-bit grayscale to limit the total histogram range to 255 values. The highest and lowest grayscale valued objects in the representative slices are then examined to determine the range of values and approximate grayscale values of individual features. ImageJ is then used to adjust the brightness and contrast settings on one stack to shift the grayscale ranges to match those of the highest and lowest values on the other stack; in effect, the stacks will have the same grayscale values for the features present such as pores and matrix. Once the stacks have been untilted and their grayscales matched, they can be concatenated into one continuous volume. The continuous volumes allow for the isolation and quantification of features of interest larger than could be captured in an individual industrial CT scan.
3.5.2 Isolation and Display of Features

The premise of isolating features is to first segment out the feature based on its unique grayscale value. Once this isolation has occurred, the next steps are to differentiate multiple isolated features and then combine them into one coherent visual representation.

First, images are thresholded such that the background, be it mineralogic matrix or open void, is isolated to create an 8-bit binary image. This thresholding creates a “mask” of the features of interest. The mask is then converted to a skeletal outline of the features utilizing the ImageJ Binary Skeletonize function. The resulting skeletonized image is then converted into a 16-bit format; this gives the flexibility to alter the values of the features to values greater than 255.

In order to overlay the images, the original image on which the mask was based is converted to 8-bit and then back to 16-bit; this functions to set all grayscale values to 255 or less, but as...
mentioned above allows for the insertion of shapes/lines with values greater than 255. Objects that were isolated are then given values sequentially higher than 255, i.e. 256, 257, etc.

The images are then combined using the ImageJ Image Calculator Transparent-Zero function which transposes the highest value voxels in the resulting image. The caveat of this is that features will overlay each other, with the highest valued feature being the dominant feature; care was taken to ensure that fractures were always the highest value so that they would always crosscut other features in the same fashion as they do within the actual sample. This process is repeated until all features of interest have been added to a final concatenated image.

The look-up table, which is the numerical assignment of colors, is then modified to give each value higher than 255 a distinct, identifiable color. This allows the individual features to be differentiated both from each other and also from the grayscale “background” matrix.
4. RESULTS

Processed 2D slices of the medical CT scans through the cores are shown first, followed by the compiled well logs, and a more detailed description of the industrially CT scanned sections of the Lost River core.

4.1.1 Medical CT Scans

As was discussed previously, the variation in greyscale values observed in the medical CT images indicate changes in the CT number obtained, which is directly proportional to changes in the attenuation and density of the scanned rock (i.e. darker regions are less dense). The greyscale values in the following images were used in an attempt to maximize the variation observed within the core images. Each image has a unique greyscale range, so they should not be interpreted to indicate that a medium grey zone in one image has the same density as a medium grey region in another image. Many qualitative comparisons can be made, however, such as noting bedding planes with different densities or identifying open fractures through the presence of dark zones in the scans.

Core was scanned in 3 ft or smaller sections due to the limitation of how many images could be generated for each scan. In highly-fractured regions sections in excess of 3 ft were often scanned with information saved in both log books and via photographs taken at depths where the breaks in the core were located. In the following images the overall depth for each scanned sub-section of core is listed, but no data is given on the specific locations of the larger breaks in the core to conserve space in this document. In addition, the cores were received in many broken sections with some sections obviously oriented incorrectly; researchers and technicians reassembled fractured sections based on geometry to match the marked depths within the core boxes.

Several items of general interest are listed below.

- The drill shoe was still attached to the Lost River core from Drill Hole #255, as seen at the bottom of the core from depths of 43.5 to 44.9 ft in Figure 25
- A large void space within a fractured zone is noticeable in the Lost River core from Drill Hole #751, in the interval from 35.2 to 36.4 ft as shown in Figure 36
4.1.1.1 *Lost River core, Drill Hole #51*

Figure 11: Lost River core Drill Hole #51, medical CT scans from 20.0 to 33.7 ft. Left to right and top to bottom the core depths are 20.0–22.0, 22.1–24.0, 24.1–25.9, 26.0–29.9, 30.0–32.0 and 32.0–33.7 ft.
Figure 12: Lost River core Drill Hole #51, medical CT scans from 33.9 to 42.0 ft. Left to right and top to bottom right the core depths are 33.9–35.5, 35.5–37.7, 37.8–39.7, 39.7–41.5, and 41.6–42.0 ft.
Figure 13: Lost River core Drill Hole #51, medical CT scans from 42.4 to 47.0 ft. Left to right and top to bottom the core depths are 42.4–43.4, 43.7–44.2, and 45.5–47.0 ft.
4.1.1.2 Lost River core, Drill Hole #61

Figure 14: Lost River core Drill Hole #61, medical CT scans from 25.2 to 35.8 ft. Left to right and top to bottom the core depths are 25.2–27.0, 27.0–29.0, 29.0–30.2, 30.2–31.2, 31.2–32.7, 32.7–34.0, and 34.0–35.8 ft.
Figure 15: Lost River core Drill Hole #61, medical CT scans from 35.8 to 50.0 ft. Left to right and top to bottom the core depths are 35.8–37.5, 37.5–39.8, 39.8–41.1, 41.1–43.1, 43.1–45.0, 45.0–47.0, 47.0–48.8, and 48.8–50.0 ft.
4.1.1.3 Lost River core, Drill Hole #251

Figure 16: Lost River core Drill Hole #251, medical CT scans from 40.0 to 50.0 ft. Left to right and top to bottom the core depths are 40.0–41.1, 41.1–42.7, 42.7–44.1, 44.1–44.8, 44.8–46.0, 46.0–47.4, 47.4–48.1, and 48.1–50.0 ft.
4.1.1.4 Lost River core, Drill Hole #252

Figure 17: Lost River core Drill Hole #252, medical CT scans from 31.0 to 51.0 ft. Left to right and top to bottom the core depths are 31.0–33.0, 33.0–35.0, 35.0–35.8, 36.0–39.0, 39.0–46.3, 46.3–47.7, 47.7–49.5, and 49.5–51.0 ft.
4.1.1.5 Lost River core, Drill Hole #254

Figure 18: Lost River core Drill Hole #254, medical CT scans from 28.0 to 43.0 ft. Left to right and top to bottom the core depths are 28.0–29.9, 29.9–31.9, 31.9–33.9, 33.9–35.8, 35.8–38.0, 38.0–40.1, 40.3–41.8, 41.8–43.0 ft.
Figure 19: Lost River core Drill Hole #254, medical CT scans from 43.0 to 58.2 ft. Left to right and top to bottom the core depths are 43.0–45.1, 45.1–47.2, 47.2–49.2, 49.2–51.2, 51.2–52.9, 52.9–54.6, 54.6–56.3, and 56.3–58.2 ft.
Figure 20: Lost River core Drill Hole #254, medical CT scans from 58.2 to 72.0 ft. Left to right and top to bottom the core depths are 58.2–60.0, 60.0–61.5, 61.5–63.2, 63.2–64.6, 64.6–66.3, 66.3–68.1, 68.1–70.0, and 70.0–72.0 ft.
Figure 21: Lost River core Drill Hole #254, medical CT scans from 72.0 to 87.0 ft. Left to right and top to bottom the core depths are 72.0–74.0, 74.0–75.7, 75.7–77.6, 77.6–79.5, 79.5–81.0, 81.0–82.8, 82.8–85.0, and 85.0–87.0 ft.
Figure 22: Lost River core Drill Hole #254, medical CT scans from 87.0 to 100.0 ft. Left to right and top to bottom the core depths are 87.0–88.7, 88.7–90.5, 90.5–92.4, 92.4–94.4, 94.4–96.2, 96.2–97.9, 97.9–99.4, and 99.4–100.0 ft.
4.1.1.6 Lost River core, Drill Hole #255

Figure 23: Lost River core Drill Hole #255, medical CT scans from 16.0 to 25.6 ft. Left to right and top to bottom the core depths are 16.0–17.5, 17.5–19.6, 19.6–20.9, 20.9–21.7, 21.7–23.6, and 23.6–25.6 ft.
Figure 24: Lost River core Drill Hole #255, medical CT scans from 25.6 to 35.4 ft. Left to right and top to bottom the core depths are 25.6–26.9, 26.9–28.6, 28.6–30.2, 30.2–32.3, 32.3–34.2, and 34.2–35.4 ft.
Figure 25: Lost River core Drill Hole #255, medical CT scans from 35.4 to 44.9 ft. Left to right and top to bottom the core depths are 35.4–36.7, 36.7–38.5, 38.5–39.5, 40.0–41.6, 41.6–43.5, and 43.5–44.9 ft.
4.1.1.7 Lost River core, Drill Hole #256

Figure 26: Lost River core Drill Hole #256, medical CT scans from 18.0 to 29.1 ft. Left to right and top to bottom the core depths are 18.0–19.6, 19.6–21.3, 21.3–23.2, 23.2–25.5, 25.5–27.4, and 27.4–29.1 ft.
Figure 27: Lost River core Drill Hole #256, medical CT scans from 29.1 to 39.9 ft. Left to right and top to bottom the core depths are 29.1–30.5, 30.5–32.4, 32.4–34.6, 34.6–36.5, 36.5–37.8, and 37.9–39.9 ft.
Figure 28: Lost River core Drill Hole #256, medical CT scans from 39.9 to 49.2 ft. Left to right and top to bottom the core depths are 39.9–41.0, 41.0–42.6, 42.6–44.6, 44.6–46.5, 46.6–47.2, and 47.6–49.2 ft
Figure 29: Lost River core Drill Hole #256, medical CT scans from 49.2 to 60.0 ft. Left to right and top to bottom the core depths are 49.2–51.4, 51.7–53.4, 53.4–55.4, 55.4–56.2, 56.2–58.2, and 58.2–60.0 ft.
Figure 30: Lost River core Drill Hole #256, medical CT scans from 60.0 to 70.5 ft. Left to right and top to bottom the core depths are 60.0–61.5, 61.5–63.5, 63.5–65.0, 65.0–66.8, 66.8–68.6, and 68.6–70.5 ft.
4.1.1.8 Lost River core, Drill Hole #651

Figure 31: Lost River core Drill Hole #651, medical CT scans from 10.0–19.6 ft. Left to right and top to bottom the core depths are 10.0–11.8, 11.8–13.2, 13.2–14.8, 14.8–16.0, 16.0–18.1, and 18.1–19.6 ft.
Figure 32: Lost River core Drill Hole #651, medical CT scans from 19.6–31.7 ft. Left to right and top to bottom the core depths are 19.6–21.5, 21.5–23.3, 23.3–25.0, 25.0–27.3, 27.3–29.5, and 29.6–31.7 ft.
Figure 33: Lost River core Drill Hole #651, medical CT scans from 31.7–40.0 ft. Left to right and top to bottom the core depths are 31.7–33.6, 33.6–35.5, 35.5–36.2, and 36.2–40.0 ft.
4.1.1.9 *Lost River core, Drill Hole #751*

![Image of CT scans](image)

Figure 34: Lost River core Drill Hole #751, medical CT scans from 11.5–23.8 ft. Left to right and top to bottom the core depths are 11.5–16.0, 16.0–17.7, 17.7–19.0, 19.0–20.7, 20.7–22.6, and 22.6–23.8 ft.
Figure 35: Lost River core Drill Hole #751, medical CT scans from 24.4–33.0 ft. Left to right and top to bottom the core depths are 24.4–26.0, 26.0–27.0, 27.0–28.5, 28.5–30.0, 30.0–32.0, and 32.0–33.0 ft.
Figure 36: Lost River core Drill Hole #751, medical CT scans from 33.0 to 42.0 ft. Left to right and top to bottom the core depths are 33.0–35.2, 35.2–36.4, 38.0–40.0, and 40.0–42.0 ft.
4.1.2 **Compiled Core Logs**

The compiled core logs were designed to fit on single pages for rapid analysis of the combined data from the medical CT scans, MSCL readings, and geologic evaluations. These are presented in the following images.
Characterization of Martinsburg Formation using Computed Tomography and Geophysical Logging Techniques

### Figure 37: Combined core log for Lost River 27 Drill Hole #51

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth (feet)</th>
<th>Magnetic Susceptibility (cgs * 10^-3)</th>
<th>P-Wave Velocity (m/s)</th>
<th>Gamma (cgs)</th>
<th>Light Elements (%</th>
<th>Ca (%)</th>
<th>Si (%)</th>
<th>Remaining (%)</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Color</th>
<th>Features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Medium to dark grey silty calcareous shale, laminated sections, calcareous fill</td>
<td>N3-N5</td>
<td>Irregular and uneven vertical fractures with calcareous fill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Medium grey to dark grey silty calcareous shale, laminated sections, calcareous fill</td>
<td>N3-N6</td>
<td>Calcite and dolomite filled fractures, typically perpendicular to bedding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Medium dark grey to light grey silty calcareous shale with thin calcareous layers</td>
<td>N3-N5</td>
<td>Irregular calcite filled fractures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Medium light grey to dark grey silty calcareous shale, laminated sections, calcareous fill</td>
<td>N2-N5</td>
<td>Wide interbedding of horizontal and vertical calcareous layers and fractures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dark grey, silty calcareous shale, laminated sections, calcareous fill</td>
<td>N2</td>
<td>Stickies on bedding and vertical fracture surfaces (tend to collapse with calcite)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Medium dark grey to light grey marlstone clay shale with light olive grey hue</td>
<td>N2-N3</td>
<td>Irregular marlstone fractures with calcite fill</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Site: Lost River Sub-Watershed Potomac River Watershed Project Site No 27 Core DH 51
Hardy County, West Virginia
Elevation: 1958 feet

Origin: Cored as part of geotechnical dam survey. Earliest log information found is February 1977. June 2013 core arrived at WVGES.
All scans done at the US Department of Energy National Energy Technology Laboratory in Morgantown, WV July 2013.

Analysis By: Dustin Crandall, Johnathan Moore, Poonaam Gill, Rebecca Rodriguez, Maggie Gill, John Tkach, Charles Alexander & Jansal Cherry

Data Collection: Bryan Terrant, Karl Jarvis & Roger Laper

Project Oversight: Dan Soeder, Dustin McIntyre & Brian Strazslar

Equipment:
- Mag Sus., P-Wave, Gamma - Geo-Tek Multi Sensor Core Logger
- XRF - InnovX Delta handheld XRF analyzer
- Computed Tomography images - Toshiba Aquilion

**VRF**
Figure 38: Combined core log for Lost River 27 Drill Hole #61.

**Site:** Lost River Sub-Watershed, Potomac River Watershed Project, Site No. 27 Core DH 61, Hardy County, West Virginia

**Elevation:** Unknown

**Origin:** Cored as part of a geological dam survey. Earliest log information found is February 1977. June 2013 core arrived at WVGES. All scans done at the US Department of Energy National Energy Technology Laboratory in Morgantown, WV July 2013.

**Analysis By:** Dustin Cardinal, Johnathan Moore, Poornam Giri, Rebecca Rodriguez, Maggie Gill, John Tkach, Charles Alexander & Jamali Cherry

**Data Collection:** Bryan Terrani, Karl Jarvis & Roger Lajeur

**Project Oversight:** Dan Soeder, Dustin McIntyre & Brian Strazdas

**Equipment:**
- Map: Sus., P-Wave, Gamma - Geo-Tek Multi Sensor Core Logger
- VRF: InnovX Delta hand-held VRF analyzer
- Computed Tomography scans - Toshiba Aquilion

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth (feet)</th>
<th>Magnetic Susceptibility (cgs * 10^-6)</th>
<th>P-Wave Velocity (m/s)</th>
<th>Gamma (cgs)</th>
<th>Light Elements (%)</th>
<th>Remaining XRF Legend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>3500</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Ca (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>6000</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Si (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>4500</td>
<td>7000</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Remaining (%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Description**

- **Medium dark grey to greyish black calcarenite.** Highly fractured, with varying fracture planes. No discernible bedding. Some calcite filled fractures.
  - N2-N4: Calcite filled fractures, small fossil bed
  - N5-N7: Calcite filled fractures

- **Medium grey to light grey calcarenite wth shell.** 1 cm thick calcite-filled fractures. No apparent bedding. Horizontal fracture planes at fairly regular (~2 cm) intervals.
  - N2-N6: Calcite filled fractures, fossil beds

- **Black to dark grey calcarenite.** Irregular and even, very thin beds. Cohesive with faint unRiven calcarenite. Most beds are clean and even and still fit together. Small fossil bed at 44-46 ft. minimal calcite and organic filled fractures.
  - N2-N3: Very dark, fractures present, fossil bed
### Characterization of Martinsburg Formation using Computed Tomography and Geophysical Logging Techniques

#### Figure 39: Combined core log for Lost River 27 Drill Hole #251.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth (feet)</th>
<th>Magnetic Susceptibility (cgs * 10^-6)</th>
<th>P-Wave Velocity (m/s)</th>
<th>Gamma (cgs)</th>
<th>Light Elements (% Na, K, Ca, Mg, Al, Fe, Si, Ti)</th>
<th>Remaining XRF Legend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Description and Features:

- **Dark to medium gray, irregularly bedded silty siltstone.**
- **Silty siltstone intervals** are thin and variable. Siltstone intervals are very slightly bedded. Carbonates and silts/tuffs are horizontal (3-5 cm) and irregularly bedded.
- **Light gray clay shale** alternating with medium gray siltstone. All units calcareous with irregular fossiliferous intervals (1-16 cm).
- Very organic rich black shale seam at 46.6 ft.

- **Vertical calcite filled fractures throughout.**
- **N2-4**
- **Fractures horizontally and vertically with organic fill.**
**Figure 40: Combined core log for Lost River 27 Drill Hole #252.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth (feet)</th>
<th>Magnetic Susceptibility (μgs * 10^-9)</th>
<th>P-Wave Velocity (m/s)</th>
<th>Gamma (cgs)</th>
<th>Light Elements (%): Mg, Al, Si, K, Ca, Fe, Ti, Ni, Cu, Pb</th>
<th>Remaining XRF Legend</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Black to dark grey silty, calcareous shale. Irregular and even, thin bedding to laminated beds. Uneven vertical calcite and organic fill fractures.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Medium light grey to greyish black silty calcareous shale. Irregular and even, thin bedding to laminated beds. Alternating light and dark beds.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dark yellowish brown clayey shale. Highly weathered, very soft flake. Only 20% recovered from this interval.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Poor quality cone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Back to medium light grey silty, weakly calcareous shale with irregular and even, thin bedding with some laminations. Alternating light and dark beds.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vertical and oblique calcite filled fractures (hard line to ~1 cm) with iron staining.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Site:** Lost River Sub-Watershed, Potomac River Watershed Project Site No 27 Core DH 252, Hardy County, West Virginia. 
**Origin:** Cored as part of geotechnical dam survey. Earliest log information found is February 1977. June 2013 core arrived at WVGES. All scans done at the US Department of Energy National Energy Technology Laboratory in Morgantown, WV. July 2013.

**Analysis By:** Dustin Crandall, Johnathan Moore, Pecora Girl, Rebecca Rodriguez, Maggie Gill, John Tkach, Charles Alexander & Jamal Cherry

**Data Collection:** Bnyan Terrani, Karl Jarvis & Roger Lapeer

**Project Oversight:** Dan Soder, Dustin McIntyre & Brian Strausbar

**Equipment:** Mag. Sus., P-Wave, Gamma, GeoTek Multi Sensor Core Logger VRF - InnoVox Delta handheld VRF analyzer

**Characterization of Martinsburg Formation using Computed Tomography and Geophysical Logging Techniques**
Figure 41: Combined core log for Lost River 27 Drill Hole #254.

Site: Lost River Sub-Watershed Potomac River Watershed Project
Site No 27 Core DH 254
Hardy County, West Virginia
Elevation: 1964.1 feet

Origin: Cored as part of a geotechnical dam survey. Earliest log information found in February 1977. June 2013 core arrived at WVGES. All scans done at the US Department of Energy National Energy Technology Laboratory in Morgantown, WV July 2013.

Analysis By: Dustin Crandell, Johnathan Moore, Poonam Giri, Rebecca Rodriguez, Maggie Gill, John Tichar, Charles Alexander & Jamal Cherry

Data Collection: Bryan Tannant, Karl Jarvis & Roger Lapeer

Project Oversight: Dan Soeder, Dustin McIntyre & Brian Straizer

- Magnetic Susceptibility
- P-Wave Velocity
- Gamma
- Light Elements (Na, Mg, Al, Si, Ca, Fe, Zn, Ni, P, S, Cu, K, Pb)
- Remaining Tips

Description: Core LR 27 DH 254

- Fossil beds, possible brachiopod casts, vertical calcite and organic filled fractures
- Calcite filled fractures, fossil beds
- Limited thin fossil beds, organic filled veins of varying orientation. Calcite and dolomite crystals at 82.4 ft
Figure 42: Combined core log for Lost River 27 Drill Hole #255.

- **Site:** Lost River Sub-Watershed Potomac River Watershed Project
- **Site No:** 27 Core DH 255
- **Origin:** Cored as part of geotechnical dam survey, earliest log information found is February 1977.
- **June 2013 core arrived at WVGES.**
- **Elevation:** 1986.1 feet

**Data Collection:**
- **Bryan Tennant**, Koi Javins & Roger Laper

**Project Oversight:**
- **Dan Soeder**, Dustin McInroy & Brian Straziar

**Analysis By:**
- **Dustin Crandall**, Johnathan Moore, Poornam Gill, Rebecca Rodriguez, Maggie Gill, John Tkach, Charles Alexander & Jerral Cherry

**Equipment:**
- **Map Sys**, P-Wave, Gamma, Geo-Tek Multi Sensor Core Logger
- **XRF - Inovox Delta hand-held** XRF analyzer
- **Computed Tomography Inverters** - Toshiba Acquisition

**Description:***

- **N2-N4**
  - Irregular calcite filled vertical fractures; black nodules in fossiliferous regions

- **N3-N6**
  - Calcite veins that terminate in dark shales

- **N4-N7**
  - Vertical fractures throughout with organic rich fill material; lighter nodules in organic rich intervals

- **N2-N4**
  - Vertical calcite filled fractures; soft sediment deformation with uneven bedding in minor intervals

**Color and Features:**

- **Description:**
  - Greyish black to medium dark gray silty clay calcareous shale. Regularly laminated to thinly bedded intervals with calcite-filled uneven regular fractures. Regularly thin bedded fossiliferous interbeds.
  - Light gray to medium dark gray silty calcareous shale. Lighter shale beds are thinly lam. To vary thin-bedded and even. Lighter beds are thinly laminated and slightly uneven (even) with fossiliferous zones. Calcite veins cross-cut beds and also present as nodules.
  - Medium dark gray to light gray silty calcareous shale. Beds range from laminated to thin bedded and irregularly fine absent fractures. Vertical calcite-filled fractures throughout with interbedded fossiliferous zones. Iron rich zone at 25.8 ft.
  - Greyish black to medium gray calcareous silty shales. Alternating dark and light beds; regular even beds which are laminated and interbedded with fossiliferous interbeds. Calcite veins present and terminate at darker bed intervals.

**Legend:**
- **Mg**
- **P**
- **Al**
- **K**
- **Fe**
- **Ca**
- **Si**
- **Remaining**
- **XRF Legend**
- **Mn**
- **Cu**
- **V**
- **Cr**
- **Ni**
- **Ti**
- **Fe**
- **S**
- **Features**
- **Description**
- **Color**
- **Core**
- **LR 27 DH 255**
Figure 43: Combined core log for Lost River 27 Drill Hole #256.

Table: Magnetic Susceptibility, P-Wave Velocity, Gamma, Light Elements (Al, Si, Fe, Mg, Ca, Ti, Ni), Remaining XRF Legend, Description, Color, Features

- **Magnetic Susceptibility**: (cgs * 10^-6)
- **P-Wave Velocity**: (m/s)
- **Gamma**: (cgs)
- **Light Elements (Al, Si, Fe, Mg, Ca, Ti, Ni)**: (%)
- **Remaining XRF Legend**: Mn, P, V, Cu, Al, K, Fe, Pb, S, Ti, Ni
- **Description**: Very light gray to grayish black relatively carbonate shale, even irregular beds. Fossil beds diminish in thickness and abundance with depth (3-k close). Harmful calcite veins of variable orientation. Fe(III) present on fracture surfaces.
- **Color**: N2-N8
- **Features**: Fossil beds and fractures

- **Magnetic Susceptibility**: (cgs * 10^-6)
- **P-Wave Velocity**: (m/s)
- **Gamma**: (cgs)
- **Light Elements (Al, Si, Fe, Mg, Ca, Ti, Ni)**: (%)
- **Remaining XRF Legend**: Mn, P, V, Cu, Al, K, Fe, Pb, S, Ti, Ni
- **Description**: Medium gray to grayish black relatively carbonate shale with abundant white calcite, may be fossiliferous. Highly deformed, and features expand and calcite veins with limited calcite dike. No apparent bedding. Fe(III) present.
- **Color**: N2-N9
- **Features**: Soft sediment deformation with organics and calcite

- **Magnetic Susceptibility**: (cgs * 10^-6)
- **P-Wave Velocity**: (m/s)
- **Gamma**: (cgs)
- **Light Elements (Al, Si, Fe, Mg, Ca, Ti, Ni)**: (%)
- **Remaining XRF Legend**: Mn, P, V, Cu, Al, K, Fe, Pb, S, Ti, Ni
- **Description**: Light gray to grayish black relatively carbonate shale. Alternating light and dark irregular even very thin beds with thin fossil beds intercalated with thin silts. Intermittent calcite veins filled with calcite-filled fractures.
- **Color**: N2-N7
- **Features**: Fossil beds and fractures

**Site**: Lost River Sub-Watershed, Potomac River Watershed Project
- Site No. 27 Core DH 256
- Hardy County, West Virginia
- Elevation: 2001.9 feet

**Origin**: Cored as part of geotechnical dam survey. Earlier log information found is February 1977. June 2013 core arrived at WVGES. All scans done at the US Department of Energy National Energy Technology Laboratory in Morgantown, WV July 2013.

**Analysis**: Dustin Crandall, Johnathan Moore, Poomam Giri, Rebecca Rodriguez, Maggie Gill, John Thach, Charles Alexander & James Cherry

**Data Collection**: Bryan Tommont, Karl Janus & Roger Laper

**Project Oversight**: Dan Seidler, Dustin McIntyre & Brian Struziarz

**Equipment**: Mag. Sus., P-Wave, Gamma - Geo-Tek Multi-Sensor Core Logger
- XRF - Innov-X Delta handheld XRF analyzer
- Computed Tomography images - Toshiba Aquilion

**Characterization of Martinsburg Formation using Computed Tomography and Geophysical Logging Techniques**
Characterization of Martinsburg Formation using Computed Tomography and Geophysical Logging Techniques

Figure 44: Combined core log for Lost River 27 Drill Hole #651.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth (feet)</th>
<th>Magnetic Susceptibility (cgs \times 10^9)</th>
<th>P-Wave Velocity (m/s)</th>
<th>Gamma (cgs)</th>
<th>Light Elements</th>
<th>Remaining XRF Legend</th>
<th>Core Lab Note</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Color</th>
<th>Features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>N13</td>
<td>Dark gray to medium gray, very thinly bedded shaly, alternating even or irregular banding of dark and light intervals. Light sections are calcareous with small calcite (&lt;1 cm) nodules.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Al</td>
<td>N13</td>
<td>Light gray to medium dark gray, irregularly bedded shaly, alternating dark and light intervals. Light sections are calcareous with small calcite (&lt;1 cm) nodules.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Si</td>
<td>N13</td>
<td>Light gray to medium dark gray, light gray to dark gray, alternating even or irregular banding of dark and light intervals. Light sections are calcareous with small calcite (&lt;1 cm) nodules.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>N13</td>
<td>Dark gray to medium gray, very thinly bedded shaly, alternating even or irregular banding of dark and light intervals. Light sections are calcareous with small calcite (&lt;1 cm) nodules.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>N13</td>
<td>Light gray to medium dark gray, irregularly bedded shaly, alternating dark and light intervals. Light sections are calcareous with small calcite (&lt;1 cm) nodules.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td>N13</td>
<td>Light gray to medium dark gray, irregularly bedded shaly, alternating dark and light intervals. Light sections are calcareous with small calcite (&lt;1 cm) nodules.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>K</td>
<td>N13</td>
<td>Light gray to medium dark gray, irregularly bedded shaly, alternating dark and light intervals. Light sections are calcareous with small calcite (&lt;1 cm) nodules.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>N13</td>
<td>Light gray to medium dark gray, irregularly bedded shaly, alternating dark and light intervals. Light sections are calcareous with small calcite (&lt;1 cm) nodules.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>N13</td>
<td>Light gray to medium dark gray, irregularly bedded shaly, alternating dark and light intervals. Light sections are calcareous with small calcite (&lt;1 cm) nodules.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>N13</td>
<td>Light gray to medium dark gray, irregularly bedded shaly, alternating dark and light intervals. Light sections are calcareous with small calcite (&lt;1 cm) nodules.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>N13</td>
<td>Light gray to medium dark gray, irregularly bedded shaly, alternating dark and light intervals. Light sections are calcareous with small calcite (&lt;1 cm) nodules.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Site: Lost River Sub-Watershed, Potomac River Watershed Project. Site No 27 Core DH 651, Hardy County, West Virginia. Elevation: Unknown.

Origin: Cored as part of a geotechnical dam survey, Earlier log information found in February 1977. June 2013 core arrived at WVGES. All scans done at the US Department of Energy National Energy Technology Laboratory in Morgantown, WV. July 2013.

Analysis By: Dustin Crandall, Johnathan Moore, Poonam Giri, Rebecca Rodriguez, Maggie Gill, John Tkach, Charles Alexander & Jamal Cherry.

Data Collection: Bryan Tennant, Karl Jarvis & Roger Lageer.

Project Oversight: Dan Soeder, Dustin McIntyre & Brian Strazisar.
Figure 45: Combined core log for Lost River 27 Drill Hole #751.
4.1.3 **Industrial CT scans**

The industrial CT scans were conducted at varying resolutions based on the overall size of the sample. As mentioned previously, the grayscale ranges differ due to density variability within and between the samples, where the minimum and maximum grayscale values are dependent on the least and most dense materials. The grayscale values in the following images were used to isolate and visually differentiate objects of interest in the scans, such as fractures and organic-rich regions. Each image set is comprised of four different views of the same core at varying angles to fully display the features in 3D, and is accompanied by a photograph of the original core.

As explained in a previous section, the cores were spliced together by adjusting the grayscale histograms to match the highest and lowest values in each scan. This manipulation introduced some minor variability that can be seen as disjointed features and slight grayscale discontinuities. Overall, these minor interferences were not large enough to prevent zonal isolation of features and thus provide a good approximation of said features.

The features documented in these images provide a detailed analysis of the cores via industrial scanning. The feature selection was performed by the authors, and as such should be considered subjective to a degree. Furthermore, although inherent histogram values were used to isolate features, those features were later cropped and fine-tuned by the researcher.
Figure 46: 3D representation of features from Lost River Drill Hole #251 at depth 40.2–41 feet. The images represent: A) original core (scale in mm), B) 3D volumetric scan, C) orthographic planes through the volume, D-G) respective 0, 90, 180, and 270 degree rotations of volume with features outlined in designated color from the legend.
Figure 47: 3D representation of features from Lost River Drill Hole #254 at depth 72.9–73.5 feet. The images represent: A) original core (scale in mm), B) 3D volumetric scan, C) orthographic planes through the volume, D-G) respective 0, 90, 180, and 270 degree rotations of volume with fossils (white) and fracture (red)
Figure 48: 3D representation of features from Lost River Drill Hole #751 at depth 36.0–36.4 feet. The images represent: A) original core (scale in mm), B) orthographic planes through the volume, C) 3D volumetric scan, D) calcite crystals (orange and yellow), E) porosity (green), F) carbonate (purple), and G) orthographic planes of full the scan with composite features outlined.
5. DISCUSSION

The incorporation of the MSCL, CT, and traditional sample analysis provided a multidisciplinary sample evaluation approach and allowed for a comprehensive and systematic sample analysis methodology to be developed. Use of these techniques in sample evaluation will allow for more consistent and robust data production at NETL.

By combining low resolution images from the medical CT scanning, the MSCL data, and traditional analysis techniques descriptions of hundreds of feet of core were developed with knowledge of both the internal structure and the macroscopic changes in lithology. These techniques are all non-destructive, rapid, and when performed in parallel give insight into the core make-up that is above and beyond what one individual technique can provide. These techniques can be used to identify zones of interest within larger cores for detailed analysis and quantification.

High resolution images from the industrial CT scanning allow for an impressive understanding of the internal structure of these cores without destroying the samples. But the large data size, and the significant time commitment required to scan and analyze these cores requires that samples be picked judiciously to maximize the research benefits from this analysis. As shown in Figures 47 ad 48, samples with fractures and discernable flow features can be scanned to obtained relevant flow feature characterizations. But samples, such the one shown in Figure 46, with little internal variation in structure result in limited benefits from the high resolution scanning technique. These results indicate for future analyses of core, where the most relevant sections for research analysis are not known a priori, that low resolution medical, traditional, and MSCL data is collected first to isolate zones of unique interest for the high resolution and detailed analysis that is available with the high resolution scanning techniques available at NETL.
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