



Reference #

Data Inventory Sheet

1. Commodity Env.
2. Author
3. Title (or description)

University of Wyoming. Toxicity Studies of Underground Coal Gasification and
Tar Sands DOE/EV/04477-T3, 14 pp.

4. Date
5. Reference
6. Source
7. Location of Data
8. Form of Data
9. Type of Work
10. Description of Work
11. Types of Data
12. Quantity of Data
13. Quality of Data
14. Priority

Ref OK

DOE/ER/04477-T3

DOE/ER/04477-T3

DE83 007144

TOXICITY STUDIES OF UNDERGROUND COAL
GASIFICATION AND THREANDS PROCESSES

Progress Report

February 1, 1982 - January 31, 1983

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared at an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use is not subject to private rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its recommendation, endorsement, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

UNIV. OF WYOMING
HARLEM, WY

MASTER

IF THIS DOCUMENT IS DUPLICATED

Introduction

Underground Coal Gasification (UCG) Technology could make approximately 3.55 trillion tons of coal economically available as an energy source (Glass, 1977). Deposits of deep lignite low grade subbituminous coal deposits are found in the western United States. Extensive field trial coal gasification experiments by Laramie Energy Technology Center (LETC) at Hanna, Wyoming and Vernal, Utah have been conducted. Samples of process waters for genotoxicity and cytotoxicity studies were provided by LETC from both sites and from the Lawrence Livermore Hoe Creek, Wyoming site.

Although health hazards associated with surface mining are lower for UCG than for deep mining, (Virgona, 1978) the toxicity of waters coproduced with the product gas needs to be assessed.

Condenser water, coproduced with product gas, and removed from the gas stream by condensation, is heavily laden with inorganic and organic solutes. Potential hazards to aquatic biota of untreated condenser water and ground water samples have been determined from samples provided by LETC (Bergman et al., 1979; DeGraeve et al., 1980; Marcus and Bergman, 1980a; Bergman et al., 1981a,b). Chemical analyses of the waters indicated samples were highly alkaline with high phenol and ammonia. Toxicity ranges of 0.01-0.1% dilution for invertebrates and fish was found (Bergman et al., 1981b). Genotoxic effects were assessed in the present study of waters from all field sites in the Ames assay and from Hanna and Utah tarsands in the Paramecium bioassay.

Materials and Methods

The cytotoxic and genotoxic procedures are described (Smith-Sonneborn et al., 1981, 1982).

The following coal process waters were tested in the Ames assay:

- 1) 80-(Tarsand-1S) 03W-00-C
- 2) 79-(Hanna-IVB) 02W-03-U
- 3) 77-(Tarsand-2C) 00W-00-U
- 4) 80-(Tarsand-1S) 03W-00-C filtered
- 5) 80-(HDOECR) 01W-00-U
- 6) 79-(Hanna-IVB) 01W-00-U

Samples one, four and six were also tested in the Paramecium assay.

Results

The cytotoxicity of coal gasification process waters from both the Hanna IVB (01W) and Vernal Tarsand field trials indicates sensitivity of these cells at 2% sample dilution for Hanna IV (01W) condensate and 20% for the Tarsand samples. Genotoxicity of the process waters in the Ames assay (Tables 2-7) show increased revertants with both Sample 1 (Tarsand) and Sample 6 (Hanna IVB). The tarsand sample was mutagenic at 100 μ l in one of four trials with strain TA100 (Table 2); the Hanna IVB (01W) sample was mutagenic at 200 μ l + S9 in one of two trials with TA98 and at 100 μ l + S9 in the other trial (Table 7). The sporadic mutagenic response noted in these waters in the Salmonella assay was also found with the Paramecium bioassay. Both the Tarsand and Hanna IVB (01W) (Samples 1 and 6) were mutagenic in Paramecium (Tables 8 and 9).

Discussion

Paramecium was 200 times less sensitive to toxins in the coal process waters than the other bioassays using Daphnia, fathead minnows and rainbow trout (Bergman et al., 1981b). The present studies indicate that both the Paramecium and Ames bioassays show sporadic genotoxic response to the process waters from both Hanna IVB (01W) and the Utah tarsand coal gasification field trial experiments. Previous studies with the mutagenicity

4

of products from coal liquification and gasification detected activity associated only with a tar byproduct of coal gasification, a liquification vehicle oil sample and products of the coal hydrogenation process in the Ames assay (Warshawsky et al., 1981). The present study offers additional evidence that byproducts of coal processes can contain genotoxic materials. The results of the present and previous studies indicate the need for some caution in the use and disposal of these waters and suggest that further research on this potential biohazard parallel consideration of further development of this technology.

Table 1

CYTOTOXICITY OF COAL GASIFICATION EXPERIMENTS
IN PARAMECIUM

Dilution.	Hanna 01W	Tarsand 1S Filtered	Tarsand 1S
1:5	0/16	14/16	Too turbid
1:10	0/16	15/16	Too turbid
1:25	0/16	16/16	Too turbid
1:50	16/16 Very Sick Cells	16/16	12/16
1:100	14/16	16/16	12/16
1:200	14/16	16/16	14/16
Control	16/16	16/16	16/16

Too turbid = too turbid to see cells

Number of surviving cells after 2 hours at 34°C

Table 2
Ames Assay
Genotoxicity of TarSand 1S 03W-00-C

Trial ^c	Number of Revertants						TA 98									
	1	2	3	4	\bar{X}	\pm	SEM	1	2	3	4	\bar{X}	\pm	SEM		
BAP ^f + S9	430 ^a	800 ^a	246 ^a	333 ^c	352 ^a	\pm	122	151 ^a	279 ^a	110 ^a	103 ^a	161 ^a	\pm	41		
BAP ^e	106	102	111	48	92	\pm	15	22	31	20	13	22	\pm	4		
S9	74	110	97	51	83	\pm	13	24	29	25	19	24	\pm	2		
Control	91	114	102	41	87	\pm	16	17	23	15	10	16	\pm	3		
Process Water																
50 μ l	79	---	^b	71	41	64	\pm	12	10	---	^b	15	7	11	\pm	2
50 μ l + S9	47	---	^b	62	69	59	\pm	6	24	---	^b	23	17	21	\pm	2
100 μ l	227 ^a	67	62	56	103	\pm	41	7	---	^b	20	12	13	\pm	4	
100 μ l + S9	292 ^a	57	66	67	120	\pm	57	10	---	^b	24	11	15	\pm	5	
200 μ l	2	---	^b	69	24	32	\pm	20	0	14	21	7	11	\pm	5	
200 μ l + S9	0	---	^b	86	51	46	\pm	20	1	25	15	12	13	\pm	5	
Nitro ^d	1600 ^a	1600 ^a	1800 ^a	---	1666 ^a	\pm	66	159 ^a	194 ^a	226 ^a	---	^b	193 ^a	\pm	19	

a = A minimum of 2X control or S9 values were obtained.

b = These dilutions were not conducted during this trial.

c = Each trial value represents a mean count of three plates.

d = 0.15 μ g/plate of 4-nitroquinoline-N-oxide was used.

e = 4.5 μ g/plate of benzo(a)pyrene.

Table 3
Ames Assay
Genotoxicity of 79-Hanna IVB 02W-03-U

Trial ^c	Number of Revertants							
	TA100		TA98					
	1	2	\bar{x}	\pm SEM	1	2	\bar{x}	\pm SEM
BAP ^e + S9	471 ^a	800 ^a	635 ^a	\pm 165	228 ^a	279 ^a	253 ^a	\pm 26
BAP ^e	98	102	100	\pm 2	8	31	19	\pm 12
S9	96	110	103	\pm 7	26	29	28	\pm 2
Control	103	114	103	\pm 6	6	23	14	\pm 9
Process Water								
50 μ l	4	b	4		1	b	1	
50 μ l + S9	9	b	9		9	b	9	
100 μ l	71	99	85	\pm 14	8	25	16	\pm 9
100 μ l + S9	69	108	88	\pm 20	23	37	30	\pm 7
200 μ l	63	b	63		0	b	0	
200 μ l + S9	60	b	60		0	b	0	
Nitro ^d	---	b	1600 ^a	1600 ^a	---	b	194 ^a	194 ^a

a = A minimum of 2X control or S9 was values were obtained.

b = These dilutions were not conducted during this trial.

c = Each trial value represents a mean count of three plates.

d = 0.15 μ g/plate of 4-nitroquinoline-N-oxide was used.

e = 4.5 μ g/plate of benzo(a)pyrene.

Table 4
Ames Assay
77 (Tarsand-2C) 00W-00U

Trial ^c	TA100			Number of Revertants			TA98		
	1	2	\bar{X} ± SEM	1	2	\bar{X} ± SEM	1	2	\bar{X} ± SEM
BAP ^e + S9	381 ^a	800 ^a	591 ^a ± 210	160	279 ^a	220 ^a ± 60			
BAP ^e	90	102	96 ± 6	5	31	18 ± 13			
S9	80	110	95 ± 15	23	29	26 ± 3			
Control Process Water	103	114	109 ± 6	8	23	16 ± 8			
50µl	54	---	54	4	---	4			
50µl + S9	52	---	52	16	---	16			
100µl	92	75	84 ± 9	8	17	13 ± 5			
100µl + S9	93	82	88 ± 6	22	35	29 ± 7			
200µl	60	---	60	3	---	3			
200µl + S9	69	---	69	19	---	19			
Nitro ^d	---	1600 ^a	1600 ^a	---	194 ^a	194 ^a			

a = A minimum of 2X control or S9 values were obtained.

b = These dilutions were not conducted during this trial.

c = Each trial value represents a mean count of three plates.

d = 0.15µg/plate of 4-nitroquinoline-N-oxide was used.

e = 4.5µg/plate of benzo(a)pyrene.

Table 5

Ames Assay

Genotoxicity of 80-(Tarsand-1S)-03W-00-C
(Filtered)

Trial ^c	Number of Revertants			TA98		
	TA100	1	2	1	2	1
BAP ^e + S9	369 ^a	800 ^a	585 ^a \pm 216	136 ^a	279 ^a	208 ^a \pm 72
BAP ^e	123	102	113 \pm 11	29	31	30 \pm 1
S9	95	110	103 \pm 8	36	29	32 \pm 4
Control Process Water	146	114	130 \pm 16	15	23	19 \pm 4
50 μ l	67	---	67	7	---	7
50 μ l + S9	16	---	16	16	---	16
100 μ l	108	90	99 \pm 9	28	20	24 \pm 4
100 μ l + S9	---	86	86	34	52	43 \pm 9
200 μ l	68	---	68	0	---	0
200 μ l + S9	39	---	39	5	---	5
Nitro ^d	---	1600 ^a	1600 ^a	---	194 ^a	194 ^a

a = A minimum of 2X control or S9 values were obtained.

b = These dilutions were not conducted during this trial.

c = Each trial value represents a mean count of three plates.

d = 0.15 μ g/plate of 4-nitroquinoline-N-oxide was used.e = 4.5 μ g/plate of benzo(a)pyrene.

Table 6
Ames Assay
Genotoxicity of 80-HOEGR (01-00-U)

<u>Trial^c</u>	<u>TA100</u>			<u>Number of Revertants</u>			<u>TA98</u>		
	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>\bar{X}</u> <u>\pm</u> <u>SEM</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>\bar{X}</u> <u>\pm</u> <u>SEM</u>			
BAP ^e + S9	330 ^a	800	565 ^a \pm 235	172 ^a	279 ^a	226 ^a \pm 54			
BAP ^e	50	102	76 \pm 26	8	31	20 \pm 12			
S9	92	110	101 \pm 9	25	29	27 \pm 2			
Control Process Water 50 μ l	80	114	97 \pm 17	17	23	20 \pm 3			
50 μ l + S9	0	---	b 0	0	---	b 0			
100 μ l	109	101	105 \pm 4	0	18	9 \pm 9			
100 μ l + S9	97	98	98 \pm 1	0	31	16 \pm 16			
200 μ l	32	---	b 32	11	---	b 11			
200 μ l + S9	33	---	b 33	6	---	b 6			
Nitro ^d	---	1600 ^a	1600 ^a	125 ^a	194 ^a	160 ^a \pm 35			

a = A minimum of 2X control or S9 values were obtained.

b = These dilutions were not conducted during this trial.

c = Each trial value represents a mean count of three plates.

d = 0.15 μ l/plate of 4-nitroquinoline-N-oxide was used.

e = 4.5 μ l/plate of benzo(a)pyrene.

Table 7
Ames Assay
Genotoxicity of 79-Hanna IVB (01W-00-U)

Trial ^c	Number of Revertants				TA98			
	1	2	\bar{X}	\pm SEM	1	2	\bar{X}	\pm SEM
BAP ^a + S9	800 ^a	246 ^a	523 ^a	\pm 277	279 ^a	110 ^a	194 ^a	\pm 84
BAP ^b	102	111	107	\pm 5	31	20	25	\pm 6
S9	110	97	104	\pm 7	29	25	27	\pm 2
Control Process Water 50 μ l	114	102	108	\pm 6	23	15	19	\pm 4
50 μ l + S9	---	b	89	89	---	b	21	21
100 μ l	101	100	101	\pm 1	16	22	19	\pm 3
100 μ l + S9	129	51	105	\pm 24	67 ^a	36	51	\pm 16
200 μ l	---	b	49	49	---	b	16	16
200 μ l + S9	---	b	75	75	---	b	75 ^a	75 ^a
Nitro ^d	1600 ^a	1800 ^a	1700 ^a	\pm 100	194 ^a	226 ^a	210 ^a	\pm 16

a = A minimum of 2X control or S9 values were obtained.

b = These dilutions were not conducted during this trial.

c = Each trial value represents a mean count of three plates.

d = 0.15 μ g/plate of 4-nitroquinoline-N-oxide was used.

e = 5.4 μ g/plate of benzo(a)pyrene.

Table 8

Paramecium Assay
 Genotoxicity of Tarsand 1S 03W-00-C

Percent Lethals and Detriments
 After Autogamy

<u>Experimental Group</u>	<u>Trial 1</u>	<u>Trial 2</u>	<u>Trial 3</u>
Food	4.7	2.8	1.1
S9	3.4	2.6	2.7
Process Water			
% Dilutions			
1.3	2.9	--- ^b	--- ^b
1.3+S9	4.1	--- ^b	--- ^b
1.6	4.7	4.1	--- ^b
1.6+S9	7.7 ^a	0.8	--- ^b
2.0	--- ^b	2.5	1.9
2.0+S9	-- ^b	2.7	1.6
2.5	--- ^b	--- ^b	0.94
2.5+S9	--- ^b	--- ^b	0.96
BAP ^c + S9	3.3	2.5	3.4
Nitro ^d	63.3 ^a	11.8 ^a	1.3
Age of Cell	46.3	37.1	26.1

^aThese values are significantly different

(P<0.05) from food and S9 only controls.

^bThese dilutions were not conducted in these trials.

^cBenzo(a)pyrene = 50 μ g/ml

^d4-nitroquinoline-N-oxide = 1.9 μ g/ml

Table 9

Paramecium Assay

Genotoxicity of Hanna IV-B 01W-00-U

Percent Lethals and Detriments
After Autogamy

<u>Experimental Group</u>	<u>Trial 1</u>	<u>Trial 2</u>	<u>Trial 3</u>	<u>Trial 4</u>
Food	2.0	6.3	18.1	7.5
S9 Only	--- ^b	4.7	4.6	10.5
Process Water				
X Dilution				
1.0	--- ^b	4.8	9.3	--- ^b
1.0+S9	--- ^b	4.7	9.7	11.8 ^a
1.3	3.5	5.3	9.6	5.6
1.3+S9	1.9	2.8	9.3	8.1
2.0	10.0 ^a	--- ^b	--- ^b	--- ^b
2.0+S9	1.6	--- ^b	--- ^b	--- ^b
BAP ^c + S9	3.4	4.7	7.1	17.0 ^a
Nitro ^d	--- ^b	26.4 ^a	61.4 ^a	19.1 ^a

^aThese values are significantly different from food only (P < 0.05).

^bThese dilutions were not tested in these trials.

^cBenzo(a)pyrene = 50 μ g/ml.

^d4-nitroquinoline-N-oxide = 1.9 μ g/ml.

References

Bergman, H.L., G.M. DeGraeve, A.D. Anderson, and D.S. Farrier, 1979, Effects of Complex Effluents from In Situ Fossil Fuel Processing on Aquatic Biota, in The First Life Sciences Symposium on Potential Health and Environmental Effects of Synthetic Fossil Fuel Technologies, Gatlinburg, Tenn., Sept. 25-28, 1978 Symposium Proceedings, James Mason (Ed.), pp. 204-211, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge TN.

Bergman, H.L., G.M. DeGraeve, J.S. Meyer, M.D. Marcus, and D.L. Geiger, 1981a, Effects of Aqueous Effluents from In Situ Fossil Fuel Processing Technologies on Aquatic Systems, Final Report to the U.S. Department of Energy and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency under contract #DE-AT20-80IC10402, in press.

Bergman, H.L., G.M. DeGraeve, J.S. Meyer, M.D. Marcus, and D.L. Geiger, 1981b, Aquatic Ecosystem Hazard Assessment of Underground Coal Gasification Process Waters in Coal Conversion and the Environment, Chemical, Biomedical, and Ecological Considerations, pp. 270-293.

DeGraeve, G.M., R.L. Overcast, and H.L. Bergman, 1980, Toxicity of Underground Coal Gasification Condenser Water and Selected Constituents of Aquatic Biota, *Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.*, 9:543-555.

Glass, G.B., 1977, Coal Resources for In Situ Gasification, in American Nuclear Society Topical Meeting: Energy and Mineral Resources Recovery, Golden, CO, April 12-14, 1977. Symposium Proceeding, DOE CONF-770440, pp. 2-8, NTIS.

Marcus, M.D., and H.L. Bergman (Eds.), 1980, Effects of Aqueous Effluents from In Situ Fossil Fuel Processing Technologies on Aquatic Systems, Annual Progress Report, 1 January-31 December 1979, to the U.S. Department of Energy and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency under contract #DE-AS20-79JC-01761, DOE Report LETC/100058-T1, NTIS.

Smith-Sonneborn, J., R.A. Palizzi, C.A. Herr, and G.L. Fisher, 1981, Particle Mediated Mutagenicity of Coal Fly Ash. *Environ. Mut.* 3:239-252.

Smith-Sonneborn, J., R.A. Palizzi, and E.A. McCann, 1982, Bioassay of Oil Shale Process Waters in Paramecium and Salmonella. Symposium on the Application of Short-Term Bioassays in the Analysis of Complete Environmental Mixtures, Chapel Hill, North Carolina, January 25-27.

Virgona, J.D., 1978, Environmental Research for Underground Coal Gasification, in The Environmental Assessment of Solid Fossil Fuel Processes, Symposium at the 71st Annual AICHE Meeting, Proceedings, Miami Beach, FL, November 12-16, 1978, DOE Report CONF-781110, NTIS.

Warshawsky, D., R. Schoeny, L. Hollingworth, M. Hund, and G. Moore, 1981, Mutagenicity of Products from Coal Liquification and Gasification in Coal Conversion and the Environment, Chemical, Biomedical, and Ecological Considerations, pp. 294-309.

END
of
PAPER