EERC Technology... Putting Research into Practice

Plains CO₂ Reduction (PCOR) Partnership

Terrestrial Field Validation Test Kickoff Meeting

> Bismarck, North Dakota July 26, 2005









The New Face of the American Indian 76 Badgers With Attitude 96 Treasure From a Civil War Wreck 108 ZipUSA: Schooled in Tradition 128 PLUS Supplement Map: Indian Country









International Attention

- 1992 United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change.
- 1997 Kyoto Protocol calls for a 7% reduction of carbon equivalentemissions from 1990 levels.











U.S. Activities

- The United States did not ratify the Kyoto Protocol.
- President Bush's Global Climate Change Initiative calls for an 18% reduction in CO₂ intensity by 2012.











U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Greenhouse Gas Emissions Program



Source: http://www.netl.doe.gov/coalpower/sequestration/index.html









CO₂ Sequestration Global Energy Backdrop

- Human population *quadrupled* and energy consumption *increased 16-fold* in the 20th century.
- Primary power consumption today is approximately 85% fossil-fuel derived.









"We are moving into a carbon-managed world, not a carbon-constrained world." — R. Patrick



Rick Patrick, Vice President, Planning, Environment and Regulatory Affairs, SaskPower, personal communication (2004)













PCOR Partnership Region

Nine states and three provinces

1,362,089 square miles



PCOR Partnership Phase I Partners



PCOR Partnership

- Phase I
 - Gauge public understanding.
 - Develop database for:
 - Sources.
 - Sinks.
 - Separation and transportation options.
 - Regulatory and permitting requirements.
 - Environmental benefits and risks.
 - Identify opportunities and conduct public outreach campaign.
 - Develop action plan for Phase II (demonstrations).













Sedimentary Basins

440,828 square miles 32% of region





Coal Fields

292,006 square miles.

21% of region.

Evaluated Wyodak– Anderson, Ardley, and Fort Union coals.

CO₂ sequestration capacity estimated to date: >8 billion tons.

PCOR Partnership Region

Geological CO₂ sequestration capacity estimated to date: >8 billion tons.



Saline Aquifers

645,677 square miles. 47% of region.

Evaluated the Lower Cretaceous and the Mississippian Madison aquifer systems.

CO₂ sequestration capacity estimated to date: >200 billion tons.

PCOR Partnership Region

Geological CO₂ sequestration capacity evaluated to date: >208 billion tons.



Oil Fields

2000+ fields evaluated.

Volumetric CO₂ sequestration capacity estimated to date: >10 billion tons.

> PCOR Partnership Region

Geological CO₂ sequestration capacity estimated to date: >218 billion tons.



Sources

1367 stationary sources

Total CO₂ emissions: 619 million tons/yr

Emissions by Industry Category



Ag Processing (1%)
Electric Utility (67%)
Ethanol (3%)
Industrial 17%)
Petroleum and Natural Gas (12%)

Summary of Phase I Source and Geologic Characterization

In the PCOR Partnership region, 619 million tons of CO₂ emitted annually from stationary sources

Assuming a 20% sequestration goal:

- Oil fields alone can get you to the Year 2085.
- Coal fields alone can get you to the Year 2070.
- Saline aquifers alone can get you to the Year 3620.









Terrestrial Sequestration

- North Dakota State University – lowmoisture land management practices for carbon sequestration
- Ducks Unlimited Canada (DUC) and U.S. Geological Survey (U.S.G.S.) – wetlands sequestration
- Regional assessment of land uses











Study Sites in the Prairie Pothole Region



Estimated CO₂ sequestration potential for Prairie Pothole Region is

7 million tons CO_2/yr .









Nature in the Balance - CO₂ Sequestration

Produced for a general audience, "Nature in the Balance: CO_2 Sequestration" provides a 30-minute introduction to CO_2 management with a focus on the North American heartland. The video introduces audiences to the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) National Energy Technology Laboratory's (NETL's) seven Regional Carbon Sequestration Partnerships and describes their role in assessing opportunities for carbon sequestration across North America.

"Nature in the Balance" was produced by Prairie Public Television, Fargo, North Dakota, in collaboration with the Plains CO_2 Reduction (PCOR) Partnership led by the University of North Dakota's Energy & Environmental Research Center (EERC). The PCOR Partnership represents more than 40 public and private sector partners located in nine states and three Canadian provinces in the heartland of North America. Funding is provided by DOE's NETL and program partners. To learn more about CO_2 sequestration, visit the PCOR Partnership Web site at www.undeerc.org/PCOR.

Nature in the Balance (May 2005) 30 minutes.

Executive Producers – Edward Steadman and Robert Dambach Videographer/Editor – Eric Carlson Writers – Charlene Crocker and Daniel Daly Narration – Hope Deutscher

Cover photograph: Antelope Valley Station Unit 2, Beulah, North Dakota, Basin Electric Power Cooperative











Nature



Identifying CO₂ Sequestration Opportunities



- Injection into a deep carbonate system for enhanced oil recovery (EOR)
- Acid gas injection into a carbonate system for EOR
- Injection into a lignite seam for enhanced coalbed methane (ECBM)
- Wetland/grassland terrestrial sequestration



















Field Validation Test Goals Let's Get Started!



Field Validation Test Goals



Field Validation Test Objectives

- Secure and Characterize Field Site
- Site Development and Management
- Carbon Sequestration MM&V
- Development of Carbon Offset Protocols and Standards
- Economic Analysis

Field Validation Test Objectives (continued)

Secure and characterize field site

Develop a site with good carbon sequestration potential.

Meets other Ducks Unlimited, Inc., needs.

• Other considerations.

Field Validation Test Objectives (continued)

Site development management

Develop wetland management practices.

Field Validation Test Objectives (continued)

Carbon monitoring, mitigation, and verification (MM&V) • Comparisons with other sites

Field Validation Test Objectives (continued)



Field Validation Test Objectives (continued)



PCOR PHASE II GANTT CHART	BUDGET	PERIOD 1	BUDGET	PERIOD 2
	Project Year 1 2005 2006	Project Year 2	Project Year 3 2008 PP Oct Nov Dec Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep	Project Year 4
Task 1	Q Q Q	Q Q Q Q Q	Q Q Q Q Q	Q Q Q Q Q
1.1 Project Management		CA ⊽	u u u u	FR
Task 2 2.1 Geological Demonstration				
2.1.1 Site - Beaver Lodge, ND			SP ⊽	BPM \bigtriangledown FR
2.1.2 Site - Zama, Alberta	DP &NCD ⊽		SP	BPM
2.1.3 Site - Lignite coal seam in ND			SP 🗸	BPM \bigtriangledown FR γ
2.2 Terrestrial Demonstration		PR 🗸		∀BPM FR
Task 3		PR ⊽		
3.1 "Surface" geochemical characteristics	SP ⊽	PR 🗸		FR [•]
-	SP ⊽	PR 🗸		FR
3.2 Stress regime and geomech. properties	SP ⊽	PR ⊽		FR 1
3.3 Dynamic response of reservoir to CO_2		PR 🗸		FR
3.4 Seismic monitoring				FB
3.5 Assessment of wellbore integrity				
3.6 Terrestrial MMV	SP ⊽	PR ⊽		FR ·
3.7 Development of regional MMV protocols		PR 🗸		BPM FR
Task 4				
4.1 Regional Characterization		⊽UW PR ⊽	vuv	
		RD&GIS 🗸 PR 🗸		
4.2 Update of DSS				
Task 5				
		$RPG_{\nabla} PR_{\nabla}$		
5.1 Safety, Regulatory and Permitting				RD⊽ FR
		PR 🗸		RDy FR
5.1 Safety, Regulatory and Permitting				RD⊽ FR
5.1 Safety, Regulatory and Permitting 5.2 Data Compilation		PR ⊽ PR ⊽		
5.1 Safety, Regulatory and Permitting5.2 Data Compilation5.3 Permit Application and Document Prep.	PP ⊽ V #1 5	PR ⊽ PR ⊽ 2 ⊽B PR ⊽		• V #3 V #4 ⊽ FR.
 5.1 Safety, Regulatory and Permitting 5.2 Data Compilation 5.3 Permit Application and Document Prep. Task 6 	PP ⊽ V #1 ₹	PR ⊽ PR ⊽ 2 ⊽B PR ⊽	∀ V #2 OAP ∀ OAP	
 5.1 Safety, Regulatory and Permitting 5.2 Data Compilation 5.3 Permit Application and Document Prep. Task 6 6.1 General public outreach 6.2 Field project outreach 		PR ⊽ PR ⊽ 2 ⊽B PR ⊽		• V #3 V #4 ⊽ FR.
 5.1 Safety, Regulatory and Permitting 5.2 Data Compilation 5.3 Permit Application and Document Prep. Task 6 6.1 General public outreach 6.2 Field project outreach 6.3 Outreach Component of the RTIP 		PR ⊽ PR ⊽ PR ⊽ PR ⊽ PR ⊽ PR ⊽		V#3 V#4 ⊽ FR FR
 5.1 Safety, Regulatory and Permitting 5.2 Data Compilation 5.3 Permit Application and Document Prep. Task 6 6.1 General public outreach 6.2 Field project outreach 6.3 Outreach Component of the RTIP Task 7 		PR ⊽ PR ⊽ PR ⊽ PR ⊽ PR ⊽ PR ⊽		V#3 V#4 ⊽ FR FR
 5.1 Safety, Regulatory and Permitting 5.2 Data Compilation 5.3 Permit Application and Document Prep. Task 6 6.1 General public outreach 6.2 Field project outreach 6.3 Outreach Component of the RTIP Task 7 7.1 Regional economic assessment 		PR ⊽ PR ⊽ PR ⊽ PR ⊽ OAP ⊽ PR ⊽ ⊽ PR ⊽	OAP VOAP	V#3 V#4 ⊽ FR FR
 5.1 Safety, Regulatory and Permitting 5.2 Data Compilation 5.3 Permit Application and Document Prep. Task 6 6.1 General public outreach 6.2 Field project outreach 6.3 Outreach Component of the RTIP Task 7 7.1 Regional economic assessment 7.2 Assessment of new opportunities 		PR ⊽ PR ⊽ PR ⊽ PR ⊽ OAP ⊽ PR ⊽ ⊽ PR ⊽	OAP VOAP	V#3 V#4 ⊽ FR FR
 5.1 Safety, Regulatory and Permitting 5.2 Data Compilation 5.3 Permit Application and Document Prep. Task 6 6.1 General public outreach 6.2 Field project outreach 6.3 Outreach Component of the RTIP Task 7 7.1 Regional economic assessment 7.2 Assessment of new opportunities 7.2.1 Wind power 		PR ⊽ PR ⊽ PR ⊽ PR ⊽ PR ⊽ PR ⊽ PR ⊽ PR ⊽ PR ⊽	OAP ⊽OAP	V#3 V#4 ⊽ FR FR
 5.1 Safety, Regulatory and Permitting 5.2 Data Compilation 5.3 Permit Application and Document Prep. Task 6 6.1 General public outreach 6.2 Field project outreach 6.3 Outreach Component of the RTIP Task 7 7.1 Regional economic assessment 7.2 Assessment of new opportunities 		$PR \nabla$ $PR \nabla$ $PR \nabla$ $OAP \nabla$ $PR \nabla$ $PR \nabla$ $PR \nabla$ $PR \nabla$ $PR \nabla$	OAP	V#3 V#4 ⊽ FR FR
 5.1 Safety, Regulatory and Permitting 5.2 Data Compilation 5.3 Permit Application and Document Prep. Task 6 6.1 General public outreach 6.2 Field project outreach 6.3 Outreach Component of the RTIP Task 7 7.1 Regional economic assessment 7.2 Assessment of new opportunities 7.2.1 Wind power 		PR ⊽ PR ⊽ PR ⊽ OAP ⊽ PR ⊽ ⊽ PR ⊽ PR ⊽ PR ⊽ PR ⊽	OAP	2 V #3 V #4 ⊽ FR FR BPM ⊽ FR
 5.1 Safety, Regulatory and Permitting 5.2 Data Compilation 5.3 Permit Application and Document Prep. Task 6 6.1 General public outreach 6.2 Field project outreach 6.3 Outreach Component of the RTIP Task 7 7.1 Regional economic assessment 7.2 Assessment of new opportunities 7.2.1 Wind power 7.2.2 Excelsior Energy 		$PR \nabla$ $PR \nabla$ $PR \nabla$ $OAP \nabla$ $PR \nabla$	OAP	<u>V #3 V #4 ⊽ FR</u> FR BPM ⊽ FR BPM ⊽ FR
 5.1 Safety, Regulatory and Permitting 5.2 Data Compilation 5.3 Permit Application and Document Prep. Task 6 6.1 General public outreach 6.2 Field project outreach 6.3 Outreach Component of the RTIP Task 7 7.1 Regional economic assessment 7.2 Assessment of new opportunities 7.2.1 Wind power 7.2.2 Excelsior Energy 7.3 Ranking of commercially available tech. 		$PR \nabla$	ОАР ⊽ОАР	2 V #3 V #4 FR BPM BPM FR FR FR FR FR FR FR FR FR FR
 5.1 Safety, Regulatory and Permitting 5.2 Data Compilation 5.3 Permit Application and Document Prep. Task 6 6.1 General public outreach 6.2 Field project outreach 6.3 Outreach Component of the RTIP Task 7 7.1 Regional economic assessment 7.2 Assessment of new opportunities 7.2.1 Wind power 7.2.2 Excelsior Energy 7.3 Ranking of commercially available tech. Task 8 	OAP ⊽	$PR \nabla$ $PR \nabla$ $PR \nabla$ $Q = Quarterly Report PR \nabla$	OAP ♥OAP ♥ BPM ♥ RTIP ♥ RTIP ♥ RTIP	V #3 V #4 FR FR BPM FR BPM FR FR G BPM FR G BPM FR G
 5.1 Safety, Regulatory and Permitting 5.2 Data Compilation 5.3 Permit Application and Document Prep. Task 6 6.1 General public outreach 6.2 Field project outreach 6.3 Outreach Component of the RTIP Task 7 7.1 Regional economic assessment 7.2 Assessment of new opportunities 7.2.1 Wind power 7.2.2 Excelsior Energy 7.3 Ranking of commercially available tech. Task 8 8.1 Integration partnership program activities [BPM = Best Practice Manual 	OAP ⊽ OAP ⊽ FR = Final Report OB = C NCD = NEPA Compliance Doc. PP= Pc	$PR \nabla$	OAP ⊽OAP ▼ BPM ▼ RTIP ▼ RTIP ▼ RTIP ▼ RTIP ■ Regulatory Permitting Guid RTIP = Regulatory Permitting Guid RTIP = Regulatory Permitting Guid RTIP = Regulatory Permitting Guid	V #3 V #4 FR FR BPM FR BPM FR FR G BPM FR G BPM FR G

Terrestrial Demonstration

PCOR PHASE II GANTT CHART

Task 1

1.1 Project Management

Task 2

2.1 Geological Demonstration

2.1.1 Site - Beaver Lodge, ND

2.1.2 Site - Zama, Alberta

2.1.3 Site - Lignite coal seam in ND

2.2 Terrestrial Demonstration

	PERIOD 1	BUDGET PERIOD 2			
Project Year 1 2005 2006	Project Year 2 2007	Project Year 3 2008	Project Year 4 2009		
	Oct Nov Dec Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep				
PMP ▽	CA 🗸		FR		
		CD -			
		SP ⊽	BPM \bigtriangledown FR \checkmark		
DP &NCD ⊽	PR ⊽ ⊽ \$	B	BPM ⊽ FR ⊽		
	FR V V 3				
	DP &NCD v PR v	SP ⊽	BPM ⊽ FR ⊽		
		3F V			
\bigtriangledown DP &NCD SP \bigtriangledown	PR 🗸		▽BPM FR 		











PCOR Partnership Phase II Partners

- University of North Dakota Energy & Environmental Research Center (EERC)
- Alberta Energy and Utilities Board
- Amerada Hess Corporation
- Apache Canada Ltd.
- Basin Electric Power Cooperative
- Center for Energy and Economic Development (CEED)
- Dakota Gasification Company
- Ducks Unlimited Canada
- Ducks Unlimited, Inc.
- Eastern Iowa Community College District
- Encore Acquisition Company
- Environment Canada
- Excelsior Energy Inc.
- Fischer Oil and Gas, Inc.
- Great Northern Power Development, L.P.
- Great River Energy
- Interstate Oil and Gas Compact Commission
- Lignite Energy Council
- Minnesota Power
- Minnkota Power Cooperative, Inc.
- Montana Department of Environmental Quality





- Nexant, Inc.
- North Dakota Department of Health
- North Dakota Geological Survey
- North Dakota Industrial Commission Lignite Research, Development and Marketing Program
- North Dakota Industrial Commission Oil and Gas Division
- North Dakota Industrial Commission Oil and Gas Research Council
- North Dakota Petroleum Council
- North Dakota State University
- Otter Tail Power Company
- Petroleum Technology Transfer Council
- Prairie Public Television
- SaskPower
- Saskatchewan Industry and Resources
- Iowa Department of Natural Resources
- Wisconsin Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection
- U.S. Geological Survey Northern Prairie Wildlife Research Center
- Western Governors' Association
- Xcel Energy









Requirements

Monthly reports Weekly highlights Quarterly reports

REQUIREMENTS (continued)

NEPA Compliance Document

- Design package
- Sampling protocols
- Best management practices manual
- Final report

REQUIREMENTS (continued)

Earned Value Management (EVM) DOE is requiring the use of the EVM System to track project performance.

EVM (continued)

EVM is used to measure and communicate the real time physical progress of a project taking into account :

- Work completed.
- Time taken to complete the work.
- Cost incurred to complete the work.

EVM helps evaluate and control project risks by measuring progress in monetary terms.









EVM (continued)

In order for the EERC to use EVM, all subcontractors must provide input to the EERC.

Before the project starts, provide a baseline plan that will include:

- Expected expenses by task by quarter for the period 10/1/05 – 9/30/07.
- Milestones and deliverables for the same time period.

On a quarterly basis (2 weeks after the end of each quarter) provide:

- Actual expenses by task.
- Percentage of work completed by task.
- Corrective action if there is a variance from the baseline plan.









LET'S GO!

Ed Steadman (701) 777-5279 esteadman@undeerc.org